

Staple to face of Application

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 09/114320 SH

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) PRETHA **Date** 4/5/01

TO: Art Unit 2861 **Class/sub** 347 **FROM:** A.U. ? **Class**

REASON: Controlling claim 13 appears proper for Class 347.
Claims 1-9 appear proper for 310/347.

Gatekeeper concurrence WCA **Hand carried: Personally accepted by**

Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC **By:** Judy Nguyen A.U. 2861 **Date** 12/6/01

☐ **ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.**

NOT ACCEPTED

☒ **Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier**

☐ **Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU**

REASON: claim 13 is an improper dependent claim that
will not be treated under merit. Proper claims to
be treated under merit do not belong in class 347.

Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☒ **This dispute was resolved. Forward to** TC/AU 2834 **Class/Sub** 347 **Post Classifier** J. Hilly **Date** 12/21/01

Concurring **Date**

☐ **This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL**

Post Classifier Assessment:

Non-classification issue raised by refusing examiner. Under MPEP 903.08(c) 13
the Post classifier will not formally assign the application to an examining group
Instead, all remaining claims under dispute are classified and application returned to
examining group. Claims 1-9 classified in 310/358 (initiated by Examiner
Dougherty). Claims 10-12 classified in 29/2935 (initiated by Examiner Forbes).
Gatekeeper Concurrence claim 13 classified in 347/637 (initiated by Post Classifier Hanlon) **Date**

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date

Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit **Class/sub**

REASON:

Panel Member

Concurring Panel Member

☐ **This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.**